arXiv:1010.2428v1 [physics.gen-ph] 12 Oct 2010

On the Anisotropic Big Bang Cosmology With A General Non-Linear EoS

Kh. Saaidi[1], **S. Ziaee**[2]
*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Kurdistan,
Sanandaj, Iran*

Abstract

We study the anisotropic Big Bang cosmology for a general non-linear equation of state (EoS) in standard general relativity cosmology in early times. According to brane world cosmology and loop quantum gravity which introduce a quadratic term in the energy density, we study a non-linear EoS with a general nonlinear term in the energy density. We show that this general non-linear term isotropize the universe at early times. And also, we find out this model has a phantom like behavior for special case.

Keywords: Anisotropic universe; Big Bang Cosmology; Initial Singularity; Brane world Cosmology.

---

[1] ksaaidi@uok.ac.ir
[2] S.ziaee@uok.ac.ir

1 Introductions

Standard Big Bang cosmology is based on three principal. The first is the supposition that universe is homogeneous and isotropic on large scales. The second is the supposition that the dynamics of space-time is expanding by the Einstein field equations. The third basis of the theory is that matter can be explained as a super position of two classical perfect fluid, a radiation fluid with relativistic EoS and pressure-less (cold) matter [1]. In recent decades, the observations of high redshift type Ia supernova [2] have shown that our universe is undergoing on accelerated expansion instead of decelerated expansion [3, 4, 5]. Meanwhile, observation of cosmic microwave back ground radiation (CMBR) [6, 7, 8], and large scale structure [9] show that the space is highly homogeneous and isotropic on large scale. All this result strongly propose that, the universe is permeated smoothly by dark energy. The dark energy and accelerating universe have been discussed extensively from different point of view [10, 11, 12]. As already mentioned, the large scale structure of the universe seems to be very homogeneous and isotropic. However, observing on small scales, the isotropy and homogeneity break down. In fact, the initial state of the universe possesses some inhomogeneities, anisotropies and a rather chaotic distribution of particles. Hence, it is tempting to investigate more general inhomogeneous and anisotropic models in early times, which should describe, as a consequence of their evolution, the currently observed large scale structure together with the isotropy limits observed in the CMBR, in x-ray backgrounds (e.g. quasars at high redshift), and in number counts in faint radio sources [13]. In general relativity, without the help of a cosmological constant or inflation, Collins and Hawking [14] tested the question (homogeneity and isotropy of universe) in terms of an initial conditions analysis. They achieved that the set of spatially homogeneous cosmological models approaching isotropy in the limit of infinite times is of measure zero in the space of all spatially homogeneous models. This in turn implies that the isotropy of the models are unstable to homogeneous and anisotropic disturbance. However, their explanation of isotropization demands asymptotic stability of the isotropic solution. An asymptotic stability analysis of Bianchi models in general relativity [15] show, for example that in the Bianchi type I the anisotropy will not exactly vanished but can be bounded.

In the brane world scenario [16, 17, 18, 19] the extra dimensions product a quadratic term in the energy density in the effective 4-dimensional energy-momentum tensor. Under the logical suppositions, this non-linear term has the very appealing effect of suppressing anisotropy in early enough times.

Hence, there is a probability to suppressing anisotropy at the initial singularity problem by adding a non-linear term to EoS [20]. For linear EoS $P = \omega\rho$ with $\omega = constant$, in the case $\omega < -1$ the fluid behavior is a phantom manner [21]. In this case the energy density grows in the future and decays in the past, so shear components is dominated in the initial singularity. On the other hand, in coming closer singularity matter with linear EoS $\omega < 1$ is un-influential, hence in this case singularity could be termed *velocity dominated* [22], but when $\omega > 1$, the so called super-stiff fluid (Ekpyrotic fluid), the energy density is dominated in the early enough times, so the initial singularity is isotropic.

In this paper we investigate the effects of a general non-linear of EoS, which is a binary mixture of perfect fluid matter and dark energy, in the Big Bang cosmological model. We want to consider on the possibility use of a general non-linear term of EoS as an effective manner of representing a dark energy, to suppressing anisotropy when the singularity is approached. Here, we indicate one of the most interesting results from adding general non-linear term to EoS, is that the initial singularity, become isotropic in contrast with ordinary cosmology. Also, we show that for some special case this theory has phantom like behavior.

2 Non-linear EoS

At first, we will review some models with non-linear term that they have studied in this context. In the brane world scenario, the our universe is self accelerating caused by an additional term appearing in the Friedmann equation when constrained to the brane. For a higher dimensional cosmology in the Randall-Sundrum framework [23, 24] the Friedmann equation is obtained as

$$H^2 = \frac{\Lambda_4}{3} + \frac{8\pi}{3{M_p}^2}\rho + \epsilon\left(\frac{4\pi}{3{M_5}^3}\right)^2\rho^2 + \frac{c}{a^4}, \tag{1}$$

where Λ_4 is the cosmological constant, $\epsilon = \pm 1$, and c is an integration constant whose magnitude as good as sign depend on the initial conditions.

The geometric effects of loop quantum cosmology is that predict a $-\rho^2$ modification to the Friedmann equation [25, 26]. This modification is relevant in the very early universe. The effective Friedmann equation becomes

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G\rho}{3}\left(1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_c}\right) + \frac{\Lambda}{3}, \tag{2}$$

where $\rho_c = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{(16\pi^2\gamma^2)\rho_{pl}}$ [27]. The ρ^2 modification is shared with the brane world model, and some comparison works can be found in [28, 29, 30]. In this work, we introduce a class of non-linear EoS and show that these models solve the anisotropy problem in the early times universe. The general form of the EoS which it include a non-linear term is introduced as

$$P = P_m + P_d = \omega\rho + \beta\frac{\rho^\alpha}{\rho_c^{(\alpha-1)}}, \tag{3}$$

where ω is a pure number, $\rho_c > 0$ is a constant which has energy dimension, β is the constant parameter to show the sign of the non-linear term. We can interpreting Eq.(3) as a binary mixture of a perfect fluid and a dark energy with positive pressure, for the case $\beta > 0$.

Bianchi type I is the simplest approach for investigation of behavior of anisotropy at early times, which it is a subclass of the Bianchi class-A [20]. The Bianchi type I cosmology can be determined by the Hubble expansion scalar and the tracefree shear tensor σ_{ij} in which $i, j = 1, .., 3$ and $\sigma = (\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{ij}\sigma^{ij})^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The energy conservation equation for a cosmological model including a perfect fluid is

$$\dot{\rho} = -3H(\rho + P), \tag{4}$$

where P is the pressure, ρ is the energy density and H is the Hubble parameter, i.e. $H = \frac{\dot{R}}{R}$. Using the Bianchi type I model and Einstein equations (with suppose $\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} = 1$), we can obtain

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{3}(\rho + \sigma^2), \tag{5}$$

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho + P + 2\sigma^2\right), \tag{6}$$

$$\dot{\sigma} = -3H\sigma. \tag{7}$$

With the help of non-linear EoS (3) and the energy conservation (4), one can obtain energy density as a function of scale factor.

$$\rho = \rho_c\left[\frac{(1+\omega)B^{(\alpha-1)}}{R^{3(1+\omega)(\alpha-1)} - \beta B^{(\alpha-1)}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}, \tag{8}$$

$$B^{(\alpha-1)} = \frac{\left(\rho_0 R_0^{3(1+\omega)}\right)^{\alpha-1}}{(1+\omega)\rho_c^{(\alpha-1)} + \beta\rho_0^{(\alpha-1)}}, \tag{9}$$

where R_0 and ρ_0 indicate the scale factor and energy density at an arbitrary time t_0. This is acceptable for all values of β, ρ_0 and ω except for $\omega = -1$. Also we introduce ${\rho_\Lambda}^{(\alpha-1)} := -\beta(1+\omega){\rho_c}^{(\alpha-1)}$, that is an effective cosmological constant (for details see reference [31]). By using Eq.(7), we can obtain shear scales as a function of the scale factor.

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \left(\frac{R}{R_0}\right)^{-3}. \tag{10}$$

According to above equation we realize that, the shear decreases in an expanding model. Therefore, to eliminate the problem of anisotropy at the early times, the initial singularity should be dominated by the energy density. In fact the contribution of shear scale is very smaller than energy density value. Also, we can conventionally define

$$\Sigma = \frac{\sigma}{H}, \tag{11}$$

as a dynamically scales (dimensionless) of anisotropy. Therefore, if $\Sigma \to 0$, we can have a cosmological isotropic model. For the disappearance the energy scale ρ_c from the dynamical system and simplify the analysis of equations, we introduce the energy density scale, the shear scale and Hubble parameter as dimensionless variable

$$\Omega = \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_c}\right)^{\alpha-1}, \quad \kappa = \frac{\sigma}{{\rho_c}^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \eta = \frac{H}{{\rho_c}^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \tag{12}$$

where Ω is the normalized energy density, κ is the normalized shear scale, η is the normalized Hubble parameter.

Considering the above dimensionless variables, we can rewrite Eqs.(10,11) as follows

$$\kappa = \kappa_0 \left(\frac{R}{R_0}\right)^{-3}, \tag{13}$$

$$\Sigma = \frac{\kappa}{\eta}. \tag{14}$$

Also, here we define the effective cosmological constant point by

$$\Omega_\Lambda = \left(\frac{\rho_\Lambda}{\rho_c}\right)^{\alpha-1} = -\beta(1+\omega). \tag{15}$$

Note that in this paper we just investigate the region of the state space in which the $\Omega \geq 0$ and models which $\eta \geq 0$.

3 Modified Polytropic Like Gas

In this section, we want to study one example which EoS of it is non-linear for considering the anisotropic behavior of the early universe. Here, for simplicity, we rescale $\rho^{(\alpha-1)}$ as $\frac{\rho_c{}^{(\alpha-1)}}{\beta}$. This is equivalent with the case which $\beta = 1$. For $\alpha = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$, Eq.(3) shows the EoS of modified Polytropic like gas as

$$P = \omega\rho + \frac{\rho^{1+\frac{1}{n}}}{\rho_c^{\frac{1}{n}}}, \tag{16}$$

where n index is a positive $(n > 0)$. From Eq.(8) we arrive at

$$\rho = \rho_c \left[\frac{(1+\omega)B^{\frac{1}{n}}}{R^{\frac{3}{n}(1+\omega)} - B^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}. \tag{17}$$

By using Eq.(9) and $\rho_\Lambda{}^{\frac{1}{n}} := -(1+\omega)\rho_c{}^{\frac{1}{n}}$ we have

$$B^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{R_0^{\frac{3}{n}(1+\omega)}}{1 - \left(\frac{\rho_\Lambda}{\rho_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}}. \tag{18}$$

Note that, in this paper the main difference is that the singularity happen in the past if the following condition is established

$$t \to t_s, \quad R \to R_s, \quad \rho \to \infty \quad and \quad |P| \to \infty, \tag{19}$$

where t_s and R_s are constant with $R_s \neq 0$ [20, 31, 32]. We can rewrite Eq.(17) for the energy density in three different manner, introducing $R_s = |B|^{\frac{1}{3(1+\omega)}}$ and supposing $\rho > 0$.

$(i) : (1+\omega) > 0, \quad \rho_\Lambda{}^{\frac{1}{n}} < 0, \quad B^{\frac{1}{n}} > 0.$

In this case Eq.(17) will become

$$\rho = \rho_c \left[\frac{(1+\omega)}{(\frac{R}{R_s})^{\frac{3}{n}(1+\omega)} - 1} \right]^n. \tag{20}$$

According to Eq.(20) it is seen that for $\rho > 0$, R and ρ must be in (R_s, ∞) and $(0, \infty)$ respectively. By making use of (20) and (19), one can realize that there is a singularity at early times and the energy density, by increasing scale factor R, decreases. This show that the linear term of EoS is dominated. Hence, the fluid behavior is as a fluid with a linear EoS.

$(ii) : (1+\omega) < 0, \quad 0 < {\rho_\Lambda}^{\frac{1}{n}} < \rho^{\frac{1}{n}}, \quad B^{\frac{1}{n}} > 0.$

For these parameter, Eq.(17) is written as

$$\rho = \rho_\Lambda \left[\frac{1}{1 - (\frac{R_s}{R})^{\frac{3}{n}|1+\omega|}} \right]^n . \tag{21}$$

In this case, one can see that ρ must belongs to $(\infty, \rho_\Lambda]$ also $R \in (R_s, \infty)$. So, the fluid close to a singularity in the past ($R \to R_s$, $\rho \to \infty$), and at late times close to an effective cosmological constant ($R \to \infty$, $\rho \to \rho_\Lambda$).

$(iii) : (1+\omega) < 0, \quad 0 < \rho^{\frac{1}{n}} < \rho_\Lambda^{\frac{1}{n}}, \quad B^{\frac{1}{n}} < 0.$

In this case Eq.(17) is as

$$\rho = \rho_\Lambda \left[\frac{1}{1 + (\frac{R_s}{R})^{\frac{3}{n}|1+\omega|}} \right]^n . \tag{22}$$

It is seem that R can varied between zero and infinity and then ρ is belonging to $(0, \rho_\Lambda)$. In this case fluid behaves as phantom and it is close to an effective cosmological constant at late times, i.e. ($R \to \infty$, $\rho \to \rho_\Lambda$). Note that in cases (i) and (ii), when the amount of energy density close to infinity, the singularity happen at a finite scale factor, i.e. $\rho \to \infty$, $R \to R_s$.

Now we want consider the behavior of anisotropy for the EoS of modified polytropic like gas. Using Eqs.(20-22) and (12-15), we want to consider behavior of the shear scales and energy density scales for three cases of fluid.

$(i) : (1+\omega) > 0, \quad {\rho_\Lambda}^{\frac{1}{n}} < 0, \quad B^{\frac{1}{n}} > 0,$

$$\kappa = \kappa_0 \left(\frac{\Omega}{(1+\omega) + \Omega} \right)^{\frac{n}{1+\omega}} , \tag{23}$$

in this case, we have $\Omega \to \infty$ in early times, so according to above equation the shear scale tends to a constant value ($\kappa \to \kappa_0$). Therefore, the initial singularity is isotropic, because in this case the initial singularity is dominated by the energy density, so $\Sigma = \frac{\kappa}{\eta} \to 0$. At late times amount of energy density tends to zero, so $\kappa \to 0$.

$$(ii) : (1+\omega) < 0, \quad 0 < \rho_{\Lambda}{}^{\frac{1}{n}} < \rho^{\frac{1}{n}}, \quad B^{\frac{1}{n}} > 0,$$

$$\kappa = \kappa_0 \left(\frac{\Omega - \Omega_\Lambda}{\Omega} \right)^{\frac{n}{|1+\omega|}} . \tag{24}$$

Here, like case (i), in the early times the energy density is infinite, i.e. $\Omega \to \infty$. So the shear scales close to a constant value ($\kappa \to \kappa_0$), therefore, the initial singularity is again isotropic and it is dominated by the energy density, so $\Sigma = \frac{\kappa}{\eta} \to 0$. The energy density close to an effective cosmological constant value at late times, as a result the shear scales tends to zero, i.e. $\Omega \to \Omega_\Lambda$, $\kappa \to 0$.

$$(iii) : (1+\omega) < 0, \quad 0 < \rho^{\frac{1}{n}} < \rho_{\Lambda}^{\frac{1}{n}}, \quad B^{\frac{1}{n}} < 0,$$

$$\kappa = \kappa_0 \left(\frac{\Omega_\Lambda - \Omega}{\Omega} \right)^{\frac{n}{|1+\omega|}} . \tag{25}$$

In this case, the energy density decays in the early times and grows with pass time. Hence, the fluid behavior is like phantom. In the early times, energy density value tends to zero ($\Omega \to 0$), so any shear component always comes to dominate in the initial singularity, then initial singularity is anisotropic. The energy density close to an effective cosmological constant value at late times. Therefore, according to Eq.(25) the shear scales tends to zero, i.e. $\Omega \to \Omega_\Lambda$, $\kappa \to 0$.

4 Conclusion

In this paper we have studied the effects of the general non-linear term of EoS to suppressing anisotropy for models with singularity in the early times. In this respect the our motivation for adding a general non-linear term to EoS is that in the context of brane world scenario the quadratic term appears in the effective 4-dimensional equation of motion. In Section (2), we have introduced a general form of the EoS which it include a non-linear term. We have given the energy density as a function of the scale factor. In Section (3), we have investigated one specific example of the non-linear EoS, then we have summarized the classification of the energy density evolution in three different classes. We show that for special cases, the effect of non-linear term of EoS isotropize the singularity at early times and for another case this model has a phantom like behavior, then in this case the singularity is not isotropized.

References

[1] R. Brandenberger, arxiv: hep-th/1003.1745v1 (2010).

[2] A. G. Riess *et al.*, Astron. J. **116**, 1009, (1998); S. Perlmutter *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **517**, 565, (1999); A. G. Riess *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **607**, 665, (2004).

[3] J. L. Tonry *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **594**, 1, (2003).

[4] R. A. Knop *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **598**, 102, (2003) ; B. J. Barris, *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **602**, 571, (2004).

[5] N. Bahcall, J. P. Ostriker, S. Perlmutter, P. J. Steinhardt, Science **284**, 1481 (1999).

[6] C. L. Bennett *et al.*, Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148**, 1, (2003); L. Page *et al.*, Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148**, 233, (2003).

[7] H. V . Peiris *et al.*, Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148**, 213, (2003).

[8] D. N. Spergel *et al.*, Astrophys. J. Suppl. **170**, 377, (2007).

[9] R. Scranton *et al.*, arxiv: astro-ph/0307335v2, (2003); M. Tegmark *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D 69**, 103501, (2004).

[10] I. Zlatev, L. Wang, P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 896, (1999); P. J. Steinhardt, L. Wang, I. Zlatev, Phys. Rev. **D 59**, 123504, (1999); M. S. Turner, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 17S1**, 180, (2002); V. Sahni, Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 3435, (2002).

[11] R. R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski, N. N. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 071301, (2003); R. R. Caldwell, Phys. Lett. **B 545**, 23, (2002); P. Singh, M. Sami, N. Dadhich, Phys. Rev. **D 68** 023522, (2003); J. G. Hao, X. Z. Li, Phys.Rev. **D 67**, 107303, (2003).

[12] A. Picon, T. Damour, V. Mukhanov, Phys. Let. B. **458**, 209, (1999); M. Malquarti, E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle, M. Trodden, Phys. Rev. **D 67**, 123503, (2003); T. Chiba, Phys. Rev. **D 66**, 063514, (2002).

[13] N. Breton, J. L. Cervantes-Cota, M. Salgado, The early universe and observational cosmology, (2004).

[14] C. B. Collins, S. W. Hawking, Astrophys. J. **180**, 317, (1973).

[15] J. D. Barrow, D. H. Sonoda, Phys. Reports **139**, 1, (1986).

[16] T. Shiromizu, K. i. Maeda, M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. **D 62**, 024012, (2000).

[17] H. A. Bridgman, K. A. Malik, D. Wands, Phys. Rev. **D 65**, 043502, (2002).

[18] D. Langlois, Astrophys. Space Sci. **283**, 469, (2003).

[19] R. Maartens, Living Rev. Rel. **7**, 7, (2004), [arXiv:gr-qc/0312059].

[20] K. N. Ananda, M. Bruni, Phys. Rev. **D 74**, 023524 , (2006).

[21] R. R. Caldwell, Phys. Lett. **B 545**, 23, (2002).

[22] D. Eardley, E. Liang, R. Sachs, J. Math. Phys. **13**, 99, (1972).

[23] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370, (1999).

[24] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690, (1999).

[25] P. Singh, K. Vandersloot, Phys. Rev. **D 72**, 084004, (2005).

[26] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawlowski, P. Singh, Phys. Rev. **D 74**, 084003, (2006).

[27] P. Singh, K. Vandersloot, G. V. Vereshchagin, Phys. Rev. **D 74**, 043510, (2006).

[28] P. Singh, Phys. Rev. **D 73**, 063508, (2006).

[29] J. E. Lidsey, D. J. Mulryne, Phys. Rev. **D 73**, 083508, (2006).

[30] P. Singh, M. Sami, N. Dadhich, Phys. Rev. **D 68**, 023522, (2003).

[31] K. N. Ananda, M. Bruni, Phys. Rev. **D 74**, 023523, (2006).

[32] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. **D 71**, 063004, (2005).